EUACA Detailed Comments on the Proposal for the Revision of the Slot Regulation

Text in the boxes below represents the EUACA comments

Text highlighted in yellow below represents EUACA suggestions for amendments of the text

♦ 95/93 (adapted)

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

on common rules for the allocation of slots at Community **∑** European Union **∑** airports

(Recast)

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community \boxtimes on the Functioning of the European Union \boxtimes , and in particular Article \boxtimes 100(2) \boxtimes , thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national Parliaments,

EN 1 EN

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee¹, Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions², Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure, Whereas:

new

- Council Regulation (EEC) No 95/93 of 18 January 1993 on common rules for the allocation of slots at Community airports³ has been substantially amended several times⁴. Since further amendments are to be made, it should be recast in the interests of clarity.
- Regulation (EEC) No 95/93 made a decisive contribution to the achievement of the internal market in aviation and to the development of relations between the European Union, its Member States and third countries, by ensuring access to the Union's congested airports on the basis of neutral, transparent and non-discriminatory rules.

▶ 95/93 recital 1 (adapted)

(3) ₩ However, ₩ Tthere is a growing imbalance between the expansion of the air transport system in Europe and the availability of ☒ certain airport infrastructures ☒ airport infrastructure to meet that demand. There is, as a result, an increasing number of congested airports in the Community \boxtimes the Union \boxtimes .

new

- The slot-allocation system established in 1993 does not ensure the optimum allocation and use of slots and thus of airport capacity. In the context of growing airport congestion and the limited development of major new airport infrastructure, the slots are a rare resource. Access to such resources is of crucial importance for the provision of air transport services and for the maintenance of effective competition. To this end, the allocation and use of slots could be made more effective by introducing market mechanisms, by ensuring that the unused slots are made available to interested operators as soon as possible and in a transparent manner, and by reinforcing the underlying principles of the system with regard to the allocation, management and use of the slots. At the same time, although the historical slots meet the need for stability in schedules for the airlines, during the future assessment of the application of this Regulation, a gradual introduction of other market mechanisms could be envisaged, such as withdrawing and auctioning historical slots.
- (5) It is therefore necessary to amend the slot allocation system at the Union's airports.

See Annex I.

EN

EN

OJ C [...], [...], p. [...]. OJ C [...], [...], p. [...]. OJ L 14, 22.1.1993, p. 1.

♦ 95/93 recital 2 (adapted)

(6) The allocation of slots at congested airports should ☒ continue to ☒ be based on neutral, transparent and non-discriminatory rules.

new

- (7) The current slot allocation system should be adapted to the development of the market mechanisms used in certain airports for transferring or exchanging slots. In its Communication to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the application of Regulation No 95/93 on common rules for the allocation of slots at Community airports⁵, the Commission undertook to make an appropriate proposal if it became apparent that revision of the existing legislation was required for competition or other reasons.
- (8) Experience has shown that secondary trading, that is presently the exchange of slots for financial or other compensation, does not benefit from a uniform and consistent legislative framework, including guarantees of transparency and competitive safeguards. It is therefore necessary to regulate secondary trading in slots in the European Union.

♦ 95/93 recital 6 ⇒ new

(9) Transparency of information is an essential element for ensuring an objective procedure for slot allocation. ⇒ It is necessary to enhance this transparency and take account of technological progress. ←

♦ 95/93 recital 10 (adapted) ⇒ new

Provisions to allow new entrants into the Community ➤ Union ☒ market ☒ should be laid down ☒. ➡Experience shows that the current definition of new entrant has not succeeded in promoting competition to the full and that it should therefore be duly amended. Furthermore, it is necessary to combat abuses by limiting the possibility for an operator to attain the status of a new entrant if, together with its parent company, its own subsidiaries or subsidiaries of its parent company, it holds more than 10 % of the total number of slots allocated on the day in question in a given airport. Likewise, an air carrier should not be considered as a new entrant if it has transferred slots. ✷

This limitation should apply to air carriers having transferred slots (being obtained with New Entrant status or not) to another carrier. The objective apparently being to prevent operators to artificially always remain below the threshold to be entitled to get new entrant status by mean of slot transfers.

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:13

Supprimé: obtained as a new entrant in order to invoke this status again

5 COM(2008)227.

EN 3 EN

This limitation should be limited in time (2 or 3 equivalent seasons for instance). The EUACA suggests the deletion above

new

(11) The priority given to an air carrier requesting a series of slots in an airport for a non-stop scheduled passenger service between that airport and a regional airport should be abolished, since this situation is already covered by the priority given to an air carrier requesting the allocation of a series of slots for a regular non-stop scheduled passenger service between two Union airports.

◆ 95/93 recital 12 (adapted)

(12) It is also necessary to avoid situations Situations where, owing to a lack of available slots, the benefits of liberalisation are unevenly spread and competition is distorted, should also be avoided ...

new

- (13) The progress made in implementing the Single European Sky has a major impact on the slot allocation process. The imposition of performance plans, which make the airports, the air navigation service providers and airspace users subject to performance improvement and monitoring measures, and the network management function, based on the establishment of a European network of routes and a central air traffic management, means it is necessary to update the slot allocation rules. It is therefore necessary to create an adequate framework allowing the network manager, the performance evaluation body and the national supervisory authorities to participate in the procedure of setting the airport capacity and coordination parameters. A new category of airports of interest for this network should also be created with a view to allowing the network to react better in crisis situations.
- (14) The flight plans and the airport slots should be better matched to better exploit airport capacity and improve flight punctuality. This represents the necessary bridge between the planning work made by the airport coordinators/Schedules facilitators and the actual flights on the day of operation.

♦ 95/93 recital 5 (adapted) ⇒ new

(15) The Member State responsible for the ⊠ schedules facilitated or ⊠ coordinated airport should ensure the appointment of a ⊠ schedules facilitator or a ⊠ coordinator whose neutrality should be unquestioned. ⇒To this end, the coordinators' and schedules facilitator's role should be enhanced. Provision should be made for the

EN 4 EN

legal, organisational, decision-making and financial independence of the coordinators with regard to stakeholders, the Member State and bodies subordinate to that State. To prevent the coordinator's or the schedules facilitator's activity suffering from a lack of financial, technical or human resources or expertise, Member States should ensure that the coordinators and the schedules facilitators have all the resources needed for their work and to develop common projects at a European level. \leftrightarrows

new

- (16) Additional obligations should be introduced for air carriers with regard to sending information to the coordinators and schedules facilitators. Provision should be made for additional penalties for omitting information or sending false or misleading information. For network airports, the air carriers should have the obligation to communicate their flight intentions or other relevant information requested by the coordinator or schedules facilitator.
- (17) The Union should facilitate cooperation between the coordinators and schedules facilitators to allow them to exchange best practices with a view to the establishment of a European coordinator in due course.

EUACA is supporting the idea of Member States encouraging close cooperation between coordinators and schedules facilitators which is all the purpose of this organization. Any decision about creating a European coordinator cannot be made on the assessment of progress made without clearly defined cooperation areas. The Commission needs to make clear what type of cooperation is expected.

♦95/93 recital 4 (adapted)

(18) Under certain conditions, in order to facilitate operations, a Member State should be able to designate Aan airport ⊠ may be designated ⊠ as coordinated provided that principles of transparency, neutrality and non-discrimination are followed ⊠ and subject to the conditions laid down in this Regulation ⊠.

♦95/93 recital 3 (adapted) ⇒ new

(19) The requirement of neutrality is best guaranteed when t The decision to coordinate an airport is S should be taken by the Member State responsible for that airport on the basis of objective criteria. ⇒ Given the progress made in implementing the Single European Sky and in the network manager function, it is useful to reconcile the methods for evaluating airport capacity to ensure better functioning of the European air traffic management network. ⇔

new

(20) Provision must be made for the procedure by which a Member State decides to modify the designation of a coordinated airport or a schedules facilitated airport to make it a

EN 5 EN

schedules facilitated airport or either an airport with no designation status or a Network airport, respectively.

It might be necessary to maintain the airport which does not face capacity problem at the Network Airport Status to the benefit of the Network.

♦ 95/93 recital 7

The principles governing the existing system of slot allocation could be the basis of this Regulation provided that this system evolves in harmony with the evolution of new transport developments in the Community.

new

(21) The period of validity for a series of slots should be limited to the schedule planning period for which the series is granted. The priority for allocating a series of slots, even historical slots, should come from the allocation or confirmation by the coordinator.

♦ 95/93 recital 8

It is Community policy to facilitate competition and to encourage entrance into the market, as provided for in Council Regulation (EC) No 2408/92 of 23 July 1992 on access for Community air carriers to intra-Community air routes⁶, and whereas these objectives require strong support for carriers who intend to start operations on intra-Community routes.

♦ 95/93 recital 9

The existing system makes provision for grandfather rights.

♦ 95/93 recital 11 (adapted) ⇒ new

(22) It is necessary to make ➤ retain ➤ special provisions, under limited circumstances, for the maintenance of adequate domestic air services to regions of the Member State ➤ or Member States ➤ concerned ⇒ when a public service obligation has been imposed ←.

⁶ ОЈ L 240, 24.8.1992, р. 8.

EN 6 EN

new

Since the environmental aspects may be taken into account in the coordination parameters and regional connectivity can also be fully ensured in the context of the public service obligations, experience has not shown that local rules are useful. Furthermore, it cannot be excluded that such rules do not lead to discrimination in allocating slots. Consequently, the option of resorting to local rules should be restricted. All the technical, operational, performance and environmental constraints that should be applied by the coordinators or the facilitators should be defined in the coordination parameters. The resort to local rules would also be reduced to supervising the use of slots and the possibility of reducing the length of the series of slots in the cases provided for by this Regulation. With a view to promoting better use of airport capacity, two basic principles in slot allocation should be reinforced, namely the definition of the series of slots and the calculation of historical slots. At the same time, the flexibility given to air carriers should be better regulated with a view to preventing distortions during the application of this Regulation in the Member States. Therefore, better use of airport capacity should be encouraged.

The EUACA does not share the view that local rules should be restricted as proposed in the text highlighted above. Refer to our comments in Article 9 (8).

(24) To allow air carriers to adapt to imperative situations of urgency, such as a marked decline in traffic or an economic crisis that severely affects the activity of air carriers, affecting a lager part of the scheduling period, the Commission should be allowed to adopt urgent measures to ensure the consistency of measures to be taken at coordinated airports. These measures will allow air carriers to retain priority in allocating the same series for the following scheduling period even if the 85% rate has not been met.

The EUACA believes that the current 80% utilisation target should be maintained. Refer to our comments in Article 10(2).

- (25) The role of the coordination committee should be doubly strengthened. On the one hand, the network manager, the performance review body and the national supervisory authority should be invited to follow the committee's meetings. On the other hand, the coordination committee's tasks could include making suggestions or giving advice to the coordinator and/or Member State on any issue concerning the airport capacity, in particular in relation to the implementation of the Single European Sky and the working of the European Air Traffic Management Network. The committee should also be able to provide the performance review body and the national supervisory authority with opinions concerning the link between the coordination parameters and the key performance indicators proposed to the air navigation service providers.
- (26) Experience shows that a significant number of slots are returned to the pool too late to be reallocated effectively. The airport managing body should be encouraged to use the airport charge system to discourage this type of behaviour. Despite having recourse to this mechanism, the airport managing body should not, however, discourage air carriers from entering the market or developing services.

EN 7 EN

♦ 95/93 recital 13

It is desirable to make the best use of the existing slots in order to meet the objectives set out above.

♦ 95/93 recital 14 (adapted)

(27) It is desirable that third countries offer Community

☑ Union ☑ carriers equivalent treatment.

♥ 95/93 recital 15 (adapted)

(28) The application of the provisions of this Regulation \boxtimes should \boxtimes be without prejudice to the competition rules of the Treaty, in particular Articles \boxtimes 101 \boxtimes , and \boxtimes 102 \boxtimes and 106 \Leftarrow .

♦ 95/93 recital 16 (adapted)

(29) Arrangements for greater cooperation over the use of Gibraltar airport were agreed in London on 2 December 1987 by the Kingdom of Spain and the United Kingdom in a joint declaration by the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the two countries, and such arrangements have yet to come into operation. ☑ The Ministerial Statement on Gibraltar Airport, agreed in Cordoba on 18 September 2006, during the first Ministerial meeting of the Forum of Dialogue on Gibraltar, will replace the Joint Declaration on the Airport made in London on 2 December 1987, and full compliance with it will be deemed to constitute compliance with the 1987 Declaration. ☑

new

- (30) The power to adopt delegated acts should be delegated to the Commission, in accordance with Article 290 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, in order to lay down the methods for developing a study on capacity and demand. It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level.
- (31) The Commission, when preparing and drawing up delegated acts, should ensure a simultaneous, timely and appropriate transmission of relevant documents to the European Parliament and Council.
- (32) In order to ensure uniform conditions for the implementation of this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules

EN 8 EN

- and general principles concerning mechanisms for control, by Member States, of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers⁷.
- (33) The examination procedure should be used for the adoption of implementing instruments concerning the creation of a European coordinator, the template for the coordinator and schedules facilitator's annual activity report and the decision that one or more Member States should take measures with a view to remedying a third country's discriminatory behaviour with regard to the Union's air carriers.
- (34) The Commission should adopt implementing acts that apply immediately, in accordance with the examination procedure, in duly justified cases linked to the need to ensure the continuation of historical slots, when required on imperative grounds of urgency.

♦ 95/93 recital 17

(35) This Regulation should be reviewed after a fixed period of operation to assess its functioning.

new

(36) Since the objective of the action - namely more homogeneous application of Union legislation on slots - cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because of the international character of air transport, and can therefore be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives,

♦ 95/93 (adapted)

HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

☒ Scope and definitions **☒**

Article 1

Scope

▼ 793/2004 Art. 1, pt. 1 (adapted)

1. This Regulation shall apply to Community ⊠ European Union ⊠airports.

OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p.13.

EN 9 EN

♥ 95/93 (adapted)

- 2. The application of this Regulation to the airport of Gibraltar is understood to be without prejudice to the respective legal positions of the Kingdom of Spain and the United Kingdom

 i of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

 with regard to the dispute over sovereignty over the territory in which the airport is situated.
- 3. Application of the provisions of this Regulation to Gibraltar airport shall be suspended until the arrangements in the joint declarations made by the Foreign Ministers of Spain and the United Kingdom on 2 December 1987 have come into operation. The Governments of Spain and the United Kingdom will so inform the Council of that date.

Article 2

Definitions

For the purpose of this Regulation:

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(a)

(a1) 'slot' shall mean the permission given by a coordinator in accordance with this Regulation to use the full range of airport infrastructure necessary to operate an air service at a coordinated airport on a specific date and time for the purpose of landing or take-off as allocated by a coordinator in accordance with this Regulation;

As airport slots refer to the on/off stand times rather than takeoff and landing times, perhaps a better definition would be:

'slot' shall mean the permission given by a coordinator in accordance with this Regulation, to use the full range of airport infrastructure necessary for the arrival or departure of an air service at a coordinated airport on a specific date and time-as allocated by a coordinator in accordance with this Regulation;

- (b2) 'new entrant' shall mean:
 - (ia) an air carrier requesting, as part of a series of slots, a slot at an airport on any day, where, if the carrier's request were accepted, it would in total hold fewer than five slots at that airport on that day; or

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(a) (adapted) ⇒ new

EN

(iib) an air carrier requesting a series of slots for a non-stop scheduled passenger service between two Community ⊠ European Union ⊠ airports, where at most two other air carriers operate the same non-stop scheduled service between those airports or airport systems—on that day, and where, if the air

EN 10

- carrier's request were accepted, the air carrier would nonetheless hold fewer than ⇒ nine ← five slots at that airport on that day for that non-stop service. or
- (iii) an air carrier requesting a series of slots at an airport for a non-stop scheduled passenger service between that airport and a regional airport where no other air earrier operates a direct scheduled passenger service between those airports or airport systems on that day, where, if the air earrier's request were accepted, the air carrier would nonetheless hold fewer than five slots at that airport on that day for that non-stop service.

An air carrier—holding—➡, which together with its parent company, its own subsidiaries or the subsidiaries of its parent company, holds ➡ more than ➡ ➡ 10 ➡ % of the total slots ➡ allocated ➡ available on the day in question at a particular airport, or more than 4 % of the total slots available on the day in question in an airport system of which that airport forms part, shall not be considered as a new entrant at that airport;

It is difficult for coordinators to be fully aware of the "family links" between operators. Therefore it is important that air carriers declare to the coordinators whether they have any shareholder relationship with other carriers when they submit their requests. (Refer to EUACA comment to Article 7 below).

It would also be helpful in order to have a European harmonized approach that the Regulation clearly states the level of required shareholding to be considered as a subsidiary or parent company.

new

An air carrier which transferred, within the meaning of Article 13, slots to another air carrier in the same airport shall not be considered as a new entrant at that airport for the period of two equivalent scheduling periods unless the air carrier would have benefited of the same priority before the slot transfer;

This limitation should apply to air carriers having transferred slots (being obtained with New Entrant status or not) to another carrier. The objective apparently being to prevent operators to artificially always remain below the threshold to be entitled to get new entrant status by mean of slot transfers.

This limitation should be limited in time (2 or 3 equivalent seasons for instance). The EUACA suggests the deletions above.

♦ 95/93 ⇒ new

(e) 'direct air service' shall mean a service between two airports including stopovers with the same aircraft and same flight number;

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:12

Supprimé: obtained as a new entrant

Eric HERBANE 6/3/12 13:18

Supprimé: in order to be able to invoke again the status of new entrant at that airport

EN 11 EN

'scheduling period' shall mean either the summer or winter season as used in the schedules of air carriers ⇒, in accordance with the rules and guidelines established by the air transport section on a global basis ⇔;

Translation issue: it should be "by the air transport sector" or "air transport industry"

♦ 95/93 (adapted)

(<u>e4</u>) 'Community' Union ≤ air carrier' shall mean an air carrier with a ≤ currently valid ≤ operating licence issued by a Member State in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council;

As a matter of consistency should not it be the same definition and terminology as in Regulation 1008/2008 article 2?

"Community air carrier' means an air carrier with a valid operating license granted by a competent licensing authority in accordance with Chapter II \gg

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(b) (adapted) ⇒ new

(£5) (£)'air carrier' shall mean an air transport undertaking holding a ⊗ currently valid ⊗ operating licence or equivalent at the latest on 31 January for the following summer season or on 31 August for the following winter season; for the purposes of Articles 45, 89, 8a10 ⇒ 11 ⇔ and 1013, the definition of 'air carrier' shall also include business aviation operators, when they operate according to a schedule; for the purposes of Articles 7, 17 and 1418; the definition of 'air carrier' shall also include all civil aircraft operators;

Articles where "air carrier" also includes business aviation operators are in blue in the rest of the text

Articles where "air carrier" also includes all civil aircraft operators are in red in the rest of the text

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(b)

(ii6) 'group of air carriers' shall mean two or more air carriers which together perform joint operations, franchise operations or code-sharing for the purpose of operating a specific air service;

EN 12 EN

new

- 7) 'air navigation service provider' shall mean any air navigation service provider within the meaning of Article 2(5) of Regulation (EC) No 549/2004⁸;
- 8) 'groundhandling service provider' shall mean any provider of groundhandling services within the meaning of Article [...] of Regulation No [...] (on groundhandling services); or any airport user within the meaning of Article [...] of Regulation No [...] (on groundhandling services) which self-handles within the meaning of Article [...] of Regulation No [...] (on groundhandling services);
- 'network airport' shall mean an airport which is not confronted with congestion problems but which, in the event of a sudden and significant increase in traffic or in the event of a sudden and significant reduction of its capacity, could have an impact on the functioning of the European air-traffic management network (hereinafter 'the network'), in accordance with Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 551/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁹;

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(c)

(±10) 'schedules facilitated airport' shall mean an airport where there is potential for congestion at certain periods of the day, week or year which is amenable to resolution by voluntary cooperation between air carriers and where a schedules facilitator has been appointed to facilitate the operations of air carriers operating services or intending to operate services at that airport;

▼ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(b)

'coordinated airport' shall mean any airport where, in order to land or take off, it is necessary for an air carrier or any other aircraft operator to have been allocated a slot by a coordinator, with the exception of State flights, emergency landings and humanitarian flights;

In principle, all flights (except emergency landings) at a coordinated airport should be recorded in advance in the coordinator's database. The types of flights or type of flight rule (IFR/VFR) which require the allocation of a slot within the airport's coordination parameters, and those that may be dispensed (eg, State or humanitarian flights) should be defined by local or national rule

ΕN

EN

OJ L 96, 31.3.2004, p. 1.
OJ L 96, 31.3.2004, p. 20.

¥ 95/93

(h) 'airport system' shall mean two or more airports grouped together and serving the same city or conurbation, as indicated in Annex II to Regulation (EEC) No 2408/92;

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(c) (adapted) ⇒ new

- 'managing body of an airport' shall mean the body which, in conjunction with other activities or otherwise, has the task under national laws or regulations of administering and managing the airport facilities and coordinating and controlling the activities of the various operators present at the airport—or within the airport system concerned;

EUACA is also of the opinion that the "standard" length of a series should remain at 5 consecutive weeks but could be extended at extremely busy airports where there is possible better use of the capacity for a longer period

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(c)

delta by business aviation' shall mean that sector of general aviation which concerns the operation or use of aircraft by companies for the carriage of passengers or goods as an aid to the conduct of their business, where the aircraft are flown for purposes generally considered not for public hire and are piloted by individuals having, at a minimum, a valid commercial pilot licence with an instrument rating;

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.2(c) (adapted) ⇒ new

(m15) 'coordination parameters' shall mean the expression, in operational terms, of all the capacity available for slot allocation at an airport during each eoordination

Source scheduling
period
and the operational rules on capacity use
reflecting all technical, operational and environmental factors that affect the performance of the airport infrastructure and its different sub-systems.

EN 14 EN

new

- 16) 'flight plan' shall mean specific information provided to air traffic services units, relative to an intended flight or portion of a flight of an aircraft;
- 17) 'scheduled air services' shall mean a series of flights with the characteristics defined in Article 2(16) of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008;
- 18) 'programmed non-scheduled air service' shall mean a series of flights which do not meet all the conditions of Article 2(16) of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008, but which operate so regularly or frequently that they constitute a recognisably systematic series;
- 19) 'network manager' shall mean the body established under Article 6 of Regulation (EC) No 551/2004;
- 20) 'performance review body' shall mean the body established under Article 11 of Regulation (EC) No 549/2004;
- 21) 'national supervisory authority' shall mean the body or bodies nominated or established by Member States as their national authority pursuant to Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 549/2004.

♦ 95/93 (adapted) ⇒ new

☒ Designation of airports **☒**

Article 3

▼ 793/2004 Art.1.3(a) (adapted)

- 1. \boxtimes Member States shall be under no obligation \boxtimes to designate any airport as schedules facilitated or coordinated save in accordance with the provisions of this Article.
- \boxtimes Member States shall not designate \boxtimes an airport as coordinated save in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 3.

♦ 95/93 **→** 1 793/2004 Art. 1.3(b)

2. A Member State may, however, provide for any airport to be designated as a →₁ schedules facilitated airport ←, provided that principles of transparency, neutrality and non-discrimination are met.

EN 15 EN

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.3(c) ⇒ new

- 3. The Member State responsible shall ensure that a thorough capacity ⇒ and demand ⇔analysis is carried out at an airport with no designation status ⇒, at an airport belonging to the European air traffic management network (hereinafter 'the network') ⇔ or at a schedules facilitated airport by the managing body of that airport or by any other competent body when that Member State considers it necessary, or within six months:
- following a written request from air carriers representing more than half of the
 operations at an airport or from the managing body of the airport when either
 considers that capacity is insufficient for actual or planned operations at certain
 periods; or

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.3(c) ⇒ new

upon request from the Commission, in particular where an airport is in reality accessible only for air carriers that have been allocated slots or where air carriers and in particular new entrants encounter serious problems in securing landing and take off possibilities at the airport in question ⇒, or when the network manager considers it necessary to ensure that the airport's operational plan is consistent with the network's operational plan, in accordance with Article 6(7) of Commission Regulation (EU) No 677/2011 ¹⁰ ← .

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.3(c)

This analysis, based on commonly recognised methods, shall determine any shortfall in capacity, taking into account environmental constraints at the airport in question. The analysis shall consider the possibilities of overcoming such shortfall through new or modified infrastructure, operational changes, or any other change, and the time frame envisaged to resolve the problems.

EUACA suggests the following addition to the text:

"The demand and capacity analysis should cover the full range of airport infrastructure, including environmental and administrative limits, necessary to operate an air service, even if the coordination parameters are only set for the most limiting resource".

16

ΕN

OJ L 185, 15.7.2011, p. 1.

Ú	new

The analysis is based on methods determined by a Commission delegated act, in accordance with Article 15 of this Regulation. The methods take account of the requirements of the network operations plan, as required by Annex V to Regulation (EU) No 677/2011.

> $\sqrt{\frac{13}{2004}}$ Art. 1.3(c) (adapted) ⇒ new

The analysis shall be updated if paragraph 56 has been invoked, 0# when there are changes at the airport influencing significantly its capacity and capacity usage ⇒ or at the request of the coordination committee, the Member State or the Commission ←. Both the analysis and the method used shall be made available to the parties having requested the analysis and, upon request, to other interested parties. The analysis shall be communicated to the Commission at the same time.

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.3(d)

4. On the basis of the analysis, the Member State shall consult on the capacity situation at the airport with the managing body of the airport, the air carriers using the airport regularly, their representative organisations, representatives of general aviation using the airport regularly air traffic control authorities and any appointed coordinator or schedules facilitator.

new

5. The Commission can ask the network manager to deliver an opinion on how the capacity is set in relation to the network operating needs. The Commission can make recommendations. The Member State shall give reasons for any decision that does not follow these recommendations. The decision shall be communicated to the Commission.

> **▶** 793/2004 Art. 1.3(d) ⇒ new

- 56. Where capacity problems occur for at least one scheduling period, the Member State shall ensure that the airport is designated as coordinated for the relevant periods only if:
- the shortfall is of such a serious nature that significant delays cannot be avoided at (a) the airport, and

The limiting capacities may be environmental limits or other constraints that do not lead to delays, so perhaps better wording would be:

"the shortfall is of such a serious nature that <mark>unconstrained demand cannot be accommodated as</mark> requested at the airport, and

there are no possibilities of resolving these problems in the short term. (b)

EN

17

Eric HERBANE 14/3/12 11

Supprimé: and

EN

- or if serious disturbances and delays occur in the airport operation due unsatisfactory cooperation of one or more air carriers and schedule facilitation in order to solve the problem was not successful.
- <u>67</u>. By way of derogation from paragraph $\underline{56(b)}$, Member States may, in exceptional circumstances, designate as coordinated the airports affected for the appropriate period \Leftrightarrow , which can be less than a scheduling period \Leftrightarrow .

The derogation should be from paragraph 6 and not only paragraph 6 (b) as mentioned.

new

By way of derogation from paragraphs 3, 4, 5 and 6, Member States may, in emergency situations, designate as coordinated the airports affected for the appropriate period.

EUACA is of the opinion that it might be necessary to limit on specific days the activity on Network airports and schedules facilitated airports in order to avoid disruptions with tremendous impact on the network. EUACA therefore suggests the following addition:

"Member States shall ensure that procedures and conditions are defined under which access to a Network airport or a schedule facilitated airport may be limited by the coordinator or the schedule facilitator during limited periods in order to avoid disruptions or alleviate their impact on the ATM Network."

8. If the updated analysis on capacity and demand in a coordinated or schedules facilitated airport shows that this airport has sufficient capacity to meet actual or planned operations, the Member State, after consulting the bodies mentioned in paragraph 4, may change its designation to a schedules facilitated airport, a Network airport or an airport with no designation status.

The airport could also remain "network airport".

♦ 95/93

→₁ 793/2004 Art. 1.3(e)

- →₁ 7. ←When a capacity sufficient to meet actual or planned operations is provided at a
- → 1 coordinated airport ←, its designation as a fully coordinated airport shall be lifted.

EN 18 EN

new

9. At the request of the Commission, which may act on its own initiative or on the initiative of the network manager, and after consulting the bodies mentioned in paragraph 4, the Member State shall ensure that an airport with no designation status be designated as network airport. The decision shall be communicated to the Commission. If the Commission considers that the airport is no longer of interest for the network, the Member State, after consulting the bodies mentioned in paragraph 4, shall change the designation of the airport to that of an airport with no designation status.

10. If a decision is taken under paragraphs 6, 8 or 9, the Member State shall communicate it to the bodies mentioned in paragraph 4 no later than 1 April for the winter scheduling period and no later than 1 September for the summer scheduling period.

Translation issue: "aéroport faisant partie du réseau" was translated in the definition section (Article 2) by "network airport" but while used in the text of the regulation it is refered to as "airport belonging to the network" as in the paragraph above. Consistency required throughout the text.

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 ⇒ new

Article <u>€</u> 4

Coordination parameters

1. At a coordinated ⇒ or schedules facilitated ⇔ airport, the Member State responsible shall ensure the determination of the ⇒ coordination ⇔ parameters for slot allocation—twice yearly, while taking account of all relevant technical, operational ⇒, ⇔ and environmental constraints as well as any changes thereto. ⇒ These constraints shall be notified to the Commission. The Commission, if necessary with the aid of the network manager, shall examine the constraints and deliver recommendations which the Member State must take into account before proceeding to determine the coordination parameters. ⇔

"Performance" should not be a constraint on the capacity parameters but on the contrary performance indicators should incentive capacity providers at delivering at the minimum the declared capacity and possibly at increasing capacity. The EUACA suggests the deletion highlighted above.

This exercise shall be based on an objective analysis of the possibilities of accommodating the air traffic, taking into account the different types of traffic at the airport, the airspace congestion likely to occur during the coordination period and the capacity situation.

<u>32</u>. At a coordinated airports the determination of the parameters and the methodology used as well as any changes thereto shall be discussed in detail within the coordination committee with a view to increasing the capacity and number of slots available for allocation, before a

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:17

Supprimé: performance

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:18

Commentaire [1]: Coordination committees are

EN 19

EN

final decision on the \Rightarrow coordination \Leftrightarrow parameters for slot allocation is taken. All relevant documents shall be made available on request to interested parties.

In exceptional circumstances, it may be necessary to restrict the coordination parameters at short notice to deal with severe operational disruption (eg, the loss of a runway, or circumstances such as the Volcanic Ash crisis). Possible wording:

"The coordination parameters applicable to new requests for a specific day can be modified in order to better reflect the airport capacity situation of that day.

The coordinator shall be informed of the new parameters for that day in the most appropriate way in order to implement them in due time and at short notice.

The Coordination Committee shall be informed on the magnitude and the occurrences of such modifications of the coordination parameters in order to take that into account for the seasonal review of the coordination parameters."

new

3. The determination of the coordination parameters shall not affect the neutral and non-discriminatory character of the slot allocation.

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 ⇒ new

<u>4.</u> The parameters shall be communicated to the airport coordinator or the schedules facilitator in good time before initial slot \Rightarrow filing \Leftrightarrow allocation takes place for the purpose of scheduling conferences.

In good time means shortly before the deadline set for <u>initial submissions</u> by air carriers. Coordinators must also make these parameters available to the interested parties on a website before the initial submission deadline.

<u>25</u>. For the purpose of the exercise referred to in paragraph 1, where the Member State does not do so, the coordinator shall define relevant coordination time intervals after consultation of the coordination committee and in conformity with the established capacity.

Schedules facilitators shall define the time intervals after consultation with the airport managing body and the local Air Navigation Service Provider.

EN 20 EN

▶ 95/93 (adapted)

☒ Organisation of coordination, schedules facilitation and data collection activities **☒**

Article <u>**4**</u> <u>5</u>

▶ 793/2004 Art. 1.4(a)

The schedules facilitator and the coordinator

V 793/2004 Art. 1.4(b) (adapted)

⇒ new

1. The Member State responsible for a ⇒ network airport ⇔ , a schedules facilitated or coordinated airport shall ensure the appointment of a qualified natural or legal person as schedules facilitator or airport coordinator, respectively after having consulted the air carriers using the airport regularly, their representative organisations and the managing body of the airport and the coordination committee, where such a committee exists. The same schedules facilitator or coordinator may be appointed for more than one airport.

new

2. Member States shall encourage close cooperation between the coordinators and schedules facilitators to develop common projects at a European level. In light of the progress of these projects, the progress made in implementing the Single European Sky and the results of the assessment report mentioned in Article 21, the Commission shall adopt implementing measures for creating a European coordinator. The implementing measures shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 16(2). The principles governing the coordinator's independence in paragraph 3 of this Article apply *mutatis mutandis* to the European coordinator.

EUACA is supporting the idea of Member States encouraging close cooperation between coordinators and schedules facilitators which is all the purpose of this organization. EUACA is of the opinion that the decision of creating a European coordinator cannot be made on the assessment of progress made on unclearly defined cooperation projects.

EN 21 EN

V 793/2004 Art. 1.4(b) (adapted)

⇒ new

- <u>23</u>. The Member State responsible for a schedules facilitated or coordinated airport shall ensure:
- (a) that at a schedules facilitated airport, the schedules facilitator acts under this Regulation in an independent, neutral, non-discriminatory and transparent manner;

new

- (i) in legal terms, the coordinator's essential functions, which consist of allocating slots in a fair and non-discriminatory manner, shall be given to a natural or legal person who or which is not a service provider in the airport, an airline operating from the airport or the managing body of the airport in question;
- (ii) in organisational and decision-making terms, the coordinator shall act autonomously in relation to the Member State, the airport managing body, service providers, airlines operating from the airport in question, not receive instructions from them nor be obliged to report to them, with the exception of the Member State, not be part of structures that are directly or indirectly responsible for their daily management and have executive decision-making powers with regard to the assets required for its function. The Member States shall ensure that the coordinator's professional interests are taken into consideration in such a way as to allow the coordinator to operate in complete independence;

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.4(b)

- (c) <u>It</u>he system of financing the coordinator's or the schedules facilitator's activities shall be such as to guarantee the coordinator's independent status and that the schedule facilitator acts in an independent manner.
- (<u>ed</u>) <u>that</u> the coordinator acts according to this Regulation in a neutral, non-discriminatory and transparent way.

new

The financing referred to under point (c) shall be provided by the air carriers who operate in the airports dealt with by the coordinator or schedule facilitator and by the managing body of the airports in such a way as to ensure that the financial burden is distributed equitably among all interested parties and that the financing does not largely depend on a sole interested party. The Member States shall ensure that adequate financial, human, technical and material

22

Eric HERBANE 14/3/12 12:21

Supprimé: 1

Eric HERBANE 14/3/12 12:21

Supprimé: equa

Eric HERBANE 14/3/12 12:22

Supprimé: and

Eric HERBANE 14/3/12 12:25

Supprimé: the

EN

EN

resources and expertise required by the coordinator or the schedules facilitator for carrying out his duties are at his disposal at all times.

Clarify definition of air carrier: shouldn't it include all flights subject to airport coordination?

→ 793/2004 Art. 1.4(b) (adapted)

 $\underline{34}$. The schedules facilitator and the coordinator shall participate in such international scheduling conferences of air carriers \boxtimes at international level \boxtimes as are permitted by \boxtimes in accordance with \boxtimes Community \boxtimes Union \boxtimes law.

♥ 793/2004 Art. 1.4(b) ⇒ new

- <u>45</u>. The schedules facilitator shall advise air carriers and recommend alternative arrival and/or departure times when congestion is likely to occur.
- <u>56</u>. The coordinator shall be the sole person responsible for the allocation of slots. He shall allocate the slots in accordance with the provisions of this Regulation and shall make provision so that, in an emergency, slots can also be allocated outside office hours.
- <u>67</u>. The schedules facilitator shall be the sole person responsible for monitoring the conformity of air carriers' operations with the schedules recommended to them.

The coordinator shall be the sole person responsible for monitoring the conformity of air carriers' operations with the slots allocated to them. These conformity checks shall be carried out in cooperation with the managing body of the airport and, with the air traffic control authorities and the Network Manager and shall take into account the time and other relevant parameters relating to the airport concerned.

 $\not\equiv$ All schedules facilitators and coordinators shall cooperate to detect inconsistencies in schedules \Rightarrow and to encourage air carriers to resolve them \Leftarrow .

Coordinators and facilitators should be the only entities responsible for monitoring activities. The help of the Network manager is necessary especially for flight time consistency checks based on airport slots or schedules at both ends of the route. Refer to proposed wording modifications in paragraph 7 above.

EN 23 EN

Ψ 793/2004 Art. 1.4(b) (adapted) ⇒ new

Article 6

□ Transparency of coordination activities and schedules facilitation □

1. \Rightarrow At the end of each scheduling period, \Leftarrow \Rightarrow the coordinator \Rightarrow or schedules facilitator \Leftrightarrow shall submit on request to the Member States concerned and to the Commission an annual activity report \Rightarrow describing the general slot allocation and/or schedules facilitation situation, examining if and when applicable \Leftarrow , eoneerning, in particular, the application of \Rightarrow Article \Rightarrow 9(5) and Articles \Rightarrow and 10 submitted to the coordination committee and the steps taken to resolve them. \Rightarrow The report shall also contain the results of a survey conducted among the interested parties on the quality of services provided by the coordinator or the schedule facilitator. \Leftarrow

This means that all coordinators and facilitators will perform a survey twice a year and ask all carriers to respond. The risk is an insufficient response rate which will make meaningful analysis of the data difficult. Yearly seems to be the maximum.

new

2. The Commission may adopt a template for the activity report mentioned in paragraph 1. That implementing act shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 16(2).

EUACA has already agreed with the Commission on a standard format for this activity report. In EUACA's views no implementing act is therefore required.

♦ 95/93

→₁ 793/2004 Art. 1.4(c)

→ 8. The coordinator shall on request and within a reasonable time make available free of charge for review to interested parties, in particular to members or observers of the coordination committee, either in written form or in any other easily accessible form, the following information:

This paragraph was somehow defining what are the interested parties. EUACA considers that they should be defined in the Regulation as the possible Members or Observers of the Coordination Committee as listed in Article 8(1).

With the deletion of this paragraph it is no longer clear who the below mentioned data should be

EN 24 EN

made available to. In order to strike a balance between transparency and potential anti competitive behaviou, it is also important to clarify the moment from which data should be made available on line. EUACA suggests that data should only made available to interested parties as defined here above and for each scheduling period as from the date of the distribution of the individual replies to initial slot submissions.

new

3. The coordinator shall maintain an up-to-date, freely-accessible electronic database, containing the following information:

"Freely accessible electronic database":Does not match the French version that would be translated by "free of charge. Clarification required

♦ 95/93

(a) historical slots by airline, chronologically, for all air carriers at the airport;

▼ 95/93 (adapted)

(b) requested slots (initial submissions) by air carriers and chronologically for all air carriers;

♦ 95/93 ⇒ new

- (c) all allocated slots, and outstanding slot requests, listed individually in chronological order, by air carriers, for all air carriers;
- (d) The database shall allow the air carriers to verify the availability of slots corresponding to their requests \Leftrightarrow ;

Depending on the resource considered, available capacity may be difficult to determine without an actual request. A parking stand can be available for an aircraft type and not for a bigger aircraft. The last part of (d) should be sufficient for operators to know whether their request could be accomodated. The EUACA suggests the deletion highlited above.

In this paragraph "air carrier" should at least include Business aviation operators if not all Civil Aircraft operators.

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:22

Supprimé: remaining available slots ⇒ with respect to each type of constraint taken into consideration in the coordination parameters

EN 25 EN

л	nau
マケ	new

(e) slots transferred or exchanged, indicating the identity of the air carriers involved and whether the air carriers involved have indicated that the transfer or exchange was made for compensation of a financial or other nature. Aggregate data on financial compensation shall be published each year;

Coordinators can only provide the information given by the air carriers and do not have any investigation role to guarantee this. EUACA therefore suggests the modification highlighted above.



(ef) full details on the eriteria being used in the allocation \Rightarrow coordination parameters \Leftrightarrow .

new

This information shall be updated regularly. At the end of each season, the coordinator shall publish the activity report mentioned in paragraph 1.

4. The coordinator shall ensure that the data are stored and remain accessible for at least five consecutive equivalent scheduling periods.

Coordination data are dynamic. Only snapshots of coordination databases can be taken at specific moments of the coordination process. EUACA suggests to keep data at initial submission, 31 AUG/31JAN, Start of season, end of season. A snapshot of historical slots lists as well.

5. The schedules facilitator shall maintain an up-to-date freely accessible (or free of charge?) electronic database, which includes all the relevant data and information to access the airport, such as capacity parameters, requested and confirmed timings, outstanding requests. The schedules facilitator shall ensure that these data are stored and remain accessible for at least five consecutive equivalent scheduling periods.

At the end of each season, the schedules facilitator shall publish the activity report mentioned in paragraph 1

▼ 793/2004 Art. 1.4(d)

9. The information referred to in paragraph 8 shall be made available at the time of the relevant scheduling conferences at the latest and as appropriate during the conferences and thereafter. On request, the coordinator shall provide such information in a summarised format. A cost-related fee may be charged for the provision of such summarised information.

EN 26 EN

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.4(e) (adapted)

 $\underline{+0}$ 6. Where relevant and generally accepted schedules information standards \Rightarrow on the format of \subseteq Schedules information \boxtimes are available, the schedules facilitator, the coordinator and the air carriers shall apply them provided that they comply with Community Union law.

This should be applicable to all Civil Aircraft Operators. In this paragraph "air carrier" does not cover this as per Article 2(5).

> **▶** 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted) ⇒ new

Article 7

Information for schedules facilitators and coordinators

1. Air carriers operating or intending to operate at a schedules facilitated, at a coordinated airport ⇒ or at a network airport ⇔ shall submit to the schedules facilitator or coordinator, respectively, all relevant information requested by them. ⇒If this information changes, the air carriers shall inform the schedules facilitator and the coordinator as soon as possible. \leftarrow All relevant information shall be provided in the format and within the time-limit specified by the schedules facilitator or coordinator. In particular, an air carrier shall inform the coordinator, at the time of the request for allocation, whether it would benefit from the status of new entrant, in accordance with Article 2(2), in respect of requested slots and declare any shareholder relationship with other carriers operating at that airport.

It is difficult for coordinators to be fully aware of the "family links" between operators. Therefore it is important that air carriers declare to the coordinators whether they have any shareholder relationship with other carriers when they submit their requests.

▶ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted)

For all other airports with no particular designation status, ⇒ the air carriers operating or intending to operate from that airport, \(\rightarrow \) the managing body of the airport \(\rightarrow \), the groundhandling service providers and the air navigation service providers \(\sigma \) shall provide , when requested by a coordinator or a schedules facilitator, any information in its \infty their \infty possession about the planned services of air carriers within a reasonable time set by the coordinator or the schedules facilitator.

Eric HERBANE

Supprimé: or

eric herbane 18/1

Supprimé: belonging to the

EN 27

EN

new

On request from the network manager, the schedules facilitator and the coordinator shall send the network manager all the information referred to in this paragraph.

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 pew

- 2. Where an air carrier fails to provide the information referred to in paragraph 1, unless it can satisfactorily demonstrate that mitigating circumstances exist, or provides false or misleading information, the coordinator shall not take into consideration the slot request or requests by that air carrier to which the missing, false or misleading information relates.

 It shall withdraw the slot or the series of slots if they were already allocated and/or recommend that penalties be imposed by the competent body under national law.

 The coordinator shall give that air carrier the opportunity to submit its observations.
- 3. The schedules facilitator or the coordinator, the managing body of the airport and the air traffic control authorities and the Network Manager shall exchange all the information they require for the exercise of their respective duties, including flight data and slots , in particular with a view to ensuring the application of Article 17 ←.

EUACA suggests the modifications highlighted in paragraph 1,2 and 3 above.

Ground Handling Service providers have the direct contact with operators. When an operator is operating without slots then the contact details of the operator may not be known by the coordinator. EUACA suggests to add the following sentence:

"Ground Handling Service providers shall provide when requested by the coordinator or the schedule facilitator all necessary information concerning the planned or actual operation information and the contact details of their air carriers customers in particular with the view to ensuring the application of Article 17 and 18. When requested by the coordinator or the schedules facilitator, they should also relay to the air carriers all information in a reliable and timely manner.

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted) ⇒ new

Article <u>₹</u> 8

Coordination committee

1. At a coordinated airport, the Member State responsible shall ensure that a coordination committee is set up. The same coordination committee may be designated for more than one airport. Membership of this committee shall be open at least to the air carriers using the

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:25

Commentaire [2]: This possibility should be

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:26

Commentaire [3]: It should be included in particular when Flight Plans are concerned.

EN 28 EN

airport(s) in question regularly and their representative organisations, the managing body of the airport concerned, the relevant air traffic control authorities, and the representatives of general aviation using the airport regularly , the network manager, the performance review body and the national supervisory authority of the Member State concerned .

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 ⇒ new

The tasks of the coordination committee shall be:

- (a) to make proposals concerning or advise the coordinator and/or the Member State on:
 - (i) the possibilities for increasing the capacity of the airport determined in accordance with Article 3 or for improving its usage;
 - (ii) the coordination parameters to be determined in accordance with Article 64;
 - (iii) the methods of monitoring the use of allocated slots;
 - (iv) local guidelines for the allocation of slots or the monitoring of the use of allocated slots, taking into account, inter alia, possible environmental concerns, as provided for in Article <u>\$9</u>(<u>58</u>);
 - (v)

 ⇒ factors affecting the

 ⇒ improvements to traffic conditions prevailing at the airport in question;
 - $\underline{\text{(vi)}}$ serious problems encountered by new entrants, as provided for in Article $\underline{109(96)}$;
 - $\underline{\text{(vii)}}$ any issue concerning the airport capacity \Rightarrow , in particular in relation to the implementation of the Single European Sky and the working of the network \Leftrightarrow :

new

(b) to provide the performance review body and the national supervisory authority with opinions concerning the link between the coordination parameters and the key performance indicators proposed to air navigation service providers as defined by Commission Regulation (EU) No 691/2010.

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 ⇒ new

- (bc) to mediate between all parties concerned on complaints on the allocation of slots, as provided for in Article 119.
- 2. Member State representatives and the coordinator shall be invited to the meetings of the coordination committee as observers. \Rightarrow On its request, the Commission may participate in these meetings. \Leftarrow

EN 29 EN

3. The coordination committee shall draw up written rules of procedure covering, inter alia participation, elections, the frequency of meetings, and language(s) used.

Any member of the coordination committee may propose local guidelines as provided for in Article $\underline{\$9}(\underline{\$8})$. At the request of the coordinator, the coordination committee shall discuss suggested local guidelines for the allocation of slots as well as those suggested for the monitoring of the use of allocated slots. A report of the discussions in the coordination committee shall be submitted to the Member State concerned with an indication of the respective positions stated within the committee. \Rightarrow This report shall also be communicated to the performance review body and the network manager \Leftarrow .

♦ 95/93 (adapted)

☒ Allocation of slots **☒**

→ 793/2004 Art. 1.6

Article <u>109</u>

Slot pool

- 1. The coordinator shall set up a pool, which shall contain all the slots—not allocated on the basis of Article 8(2) and (4). All new slot capacity determined pursuant to Article 3(3) shall be placed in the pool.
- 2. A series of slots that has been allocated to an air earrier for the operation of a scheduled or a programmed non-scheduled air service shall not entitle that air carrier to the same series of slots in the next equivalent scheduling period if the air carrier cannot demonstrate to the satisfaction of the coordinator that they have been operated, as cleared by the coordinator, by that air carrier for at least 80% of the time during the scheduling period for which they have been allocated.
- <u>62</u>. Without prejudice to Article <u>§10(2)</u> \Rightarrow and (3) \Leftrightarrow of this Regulation and without prejudice to Article 19(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008, slots placed in the pool shall be distributed among applicant air carriers. 50 % of these slots shall first be allocated to new entrants unless requests by new entrants are less than 50 %. \Rightarrow The preference given to new entrants shall be respected during the entire scheduling period. \Leftrightarrow The coordinator shall treat the requests of new entrants and other carriers fairly, in accordance with the coordination periods of each scheduling day.

The EUACA is of the view that, practically, the pool only exists in this context when significant capacity is available for allocation (ie, at the time of initial coordination and at the slot return deadline). It is impractical for coordinators to maintain a 50/50 allocation of slots throughout the scheduling process.

The requirement of allocating 50% of the pool to new entrants may not be compatible with the best use of the capacity, and application of the new entrant rule should not be at the expense of the general requirement to make efficient use of scarce capacity.

Among requests from new entrants, preference shall be given to air carriers qualifying for new entrant status under both Article 2(b2)(i) and (iii), or Article 2(b)(i) and (iii).

This provision (Article 2(2)b)) implies a higher priority for Community (or Union) air carriers operating intra Union routes compared with non-EU carriers or carriers wishing to operate routes connecting the Union with other parts of the world.

This may not be compatible with the requirement to make best use of capacity, as the non-EU operator or extra Union route may represent more efficient use of the slots.

▶ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted)

3. Without prejudice to Article 10(2), in a situation where all slot requests cannot be accommodated to the satisfaction of the air carriers concerned, preference shall be given to commercial air services and in particular to scheduled \boxtimes air \boxtimes services and programmed non-scheduled air services. In the case of competing requests within the same category of services, priority shall be given for year round operations.

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.6 ⇒ new

- $\underline{74}$. A new entrant which has been offered a series of slots within one hour before or after the time requested but has not accepted this offer shall not retain its new entrant status for that \Rightarrow series during the \Leftrightarrow scheduling period.
- 85. In the case of services operated by a group of air carriers, only one of the participating air carriers can apply for the required slots. The air carrier operating such a service accepts responsibility for meeting the operating criteria required to \Rightarrow benefit from the priority \Leftrightarrow maintain historical precedence referred to in Article §10(2).

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.6 (adapted)

 ⇒ new

Slots allocated to one air carrier may be used by (an)other air carrier(s) participating in a joint operation \Rightarrow belonging to a group of air carriers \Leftarrow , provided that the designator code of the air carrier to whom the slots are allocated remains on the shared flight for coordination and monitoring purposes. Upon discontinuation of such operations, the slots so used will remain with the air carrier to whom they were initially allocated. Air carriers involved in shared operations shall advise coordinators of the detail of such operations prior to the beginning of souch operations \boxtimes shall be notified to the coordinator by the air carriers belonging to the group \boxtimes and may not begin prior to the express confirmation by the coordinator \Leftrightarrow .

EN 31 EN

new

If a series of slots allocated to an air carrier is used by another air carrier outside the conditions of this paragraph, the coordinator shall withdraw the series and return it to the pool after consulting the carriers concerned.

It would be necessary to also clarify that operations made without the express confirmation by the coordinator will be considered as operated without slots. EUACA suggests to add: "All flights which were operated without the prior confirmation by the coordinator will be considered as operated without allocated slots"

▶ 793/2004 Art. 1.6

<u>96</u>. If serious problems continue to exist for new entrants, the Member State shall ensure that a meeting of the airport coordination committee is convened. The purpose of the meeting shall be to examine possibilities for remedying the situation. The Commission shall be invited to that meeting.

At the busiest coordinated airports (eg, Heathrow), problems continuously exist for new entrants and the requirement to hold such meetings when there is no change in the situation is not sensible.

▶ 793/2004 Art. 1.5

<u>67</u>. If a requested slot cannot be accommodated, the coordinator shall inform the requesting air carrier of the reasons therefore and shall indicate the nearest available alternative slot.

In order to make best use of the capacity, it should be stated that "The coordinator is not obliged to allocate an available slot to an air carrier if he is not satisfied that the slots will be actually used by that air carrier".

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted) ⇒ new

<u>58</u>. The coordinator shall also take into account additional rules and guidelines established by the air transport industry world-wide or Community □ Union □ wide as well as local guidelines proposed by the coordination committee and approved by the Member State or any

EN 32 EN

other competent body responsible for the airport in question, provided that such rules and guidelines do not affect the independent status of the coordinator, comply with Community

☑ Union ☑ law, and aim at improving the efficient use of airport capacity ☑ and have been notified in advance to and pre-approved by the Commission ☑. These rules shall be communicated by the Member State in question to the Commission.

Reasonable time should be specified for the notification to the Commission and for its approval.

new

The local guidelines may only concern the supervision of the use of slots allocated or the amendment of the definition of the series of slots for reducing its length below 10 slots for the winter scheduling period or below 15 slots for the summer scheduling period, but under no circumstances below 5 slots. The reduction of the length of the series of slots applies only in airports where demand for air services is highly seasonable.

There are needs for various other types of local guidelines which cannot be considered as coordination parameters. EUACA is of the opinion that since local guidelines have to be notified and pre-approved by the Commission (paragraph 8 above), guarantee concerning their non proliferation, their consistency, their compatibility with Union law, and their neutrality on the coordinator's independence is sufficient. Local guidelines scope should not be limited to the list above. EUACA suggests the following:

"The local guidelines may concern the amendment of the definition of the series of slots for extending its length up to 10 slots for the winter scheduling period or up to 15 slots for the summer scheduling period."

in order to explicitly enable the modification of the length of the series.

EUACA is also of the opinion that the "standard" length of a series should remain at 5 weeks but could be extended where there is possible better use of the capacity for a longer period. (refer to EUACA comments Article 2 (13)................................)

▶ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted)

 $\underline{\cancel{\pm}9}$. The coordinator shall, in addition to the planned slot allocation for the scheduling period, endeavour to accommodate single slot requests with short notice for any type of aviation, including general aviation. To this end, slots remaining in the pool referred to in Article 10 after distribution among the applicant carriers and slots available at short notice may be used.

Article <u>810</u>

Process of ⊠ Historical ⊠ slots allocation

EN 33 EN

→ 793/2004 Art. 1.5

1. Series of slots are allocated from the slot pool to applicant carriers as permissions to use the airport infrastructure for the purpose of landing or take-off for the scheduling period for which they are requested, at the expiry of which they have to be returned to the slot pool as set up according to the provisions of Article <u>409</u>.

As per the suggestion concerning the definition of slots EUACA suggests:

"...permissions to use the **full range of** airport infrastructure necessary for **the arrival or departure** for the scheduling period ..."

→ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted) ⇒ new

- 2. Without prejudice to Articles 7, 8a, 9, 10(1) 12, 13 and 1417, paragraph (1) of this Article shall not apply \Rightarrow priority is to be given to the air carrier concerned for the allocation of the same series during the following equivalent scheduling period, if that air carrier so requests within the time-limit mentioned in Article 7(1), and if \Leftrightarrow when the following conditions are satisfied:
- (a) a the same series of slots has been used by an ⊗ that ⊗ air carrier for the operation of scheduled and programmed non-scheduled air services and
- (b) that air carrier can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the coordinator that the series of slots in question has been operated, as cleared by the coordinator, by that air carrier for at least \$9 ⇒ 85 ⇔ % of the time during the scheduling period for which it has been allocated.

For clarification purpose EUACA suggests the modifications highlighted above.

EUACA does not support increasing the slot utilization threshold beyond 80% which has proven to be a reasonable and practical level of slot use. EUACA also notes that an 85% level leads to more rounding issues when applied to typical series length and will not bring a significant number of slots to the pool while increasing the pressure on the "Force Majeure" discussion.

In such ease that series of slots shall entitle the air carrier concerned to the same series of slots in the next equivalent scheduling period, if requested by that air carrier within the time-limit referred to in Article 7(1).

43. Re-timing of series of slots before the allocation of the remaining slots from the pool referred to in Article 9 to the other applicant air carriers shall be accepted only for operational reasons ⇒ such as, changes in the type of aircraft used or route operated by the air carrier ⇔ or if slot timings of applicant air carriers would be improved in relation to the timings initially requested. It shall not take effect prior to the express confirmation until expressly confirmed by the coordinator.

In practice, it can be difficult for the coordinator to verify whether a re-timing request is made for 'operational reasons'. The coordinator's confirmation should not imply that he checked whether

EN 34 EN

these re-timings are really due to operational reasons.

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.6

34. Slots allocated to an air carrier before 31 January for the following summer season, or before 31 August for the following winter season, but which are returned to the coordinator for reallocation before those dates, shall not be taken into account for the purposes of the usage calculation ⇒ provided that the remaining allocated slots can be recognized as being part of a series within the meaning of Article 2(13) ⇔.

new

J

Public holidays worldwide are difficult to check. Slots should always be canceled when not intended to be used.

The historic precedence determination is a rather complicated technical issue, which should strike a balance between the preservation of the continuity of services for the traveling public, the air carriers' investments, and the access to scarce capacity for new operations or new operators. The European coordinators strongly advise that the wording concerning historic slots in Article 10(4) keeps some flexibility in order to reflect real life. EUACA suggests the wording highlighted above.

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.6 (adapted)

- 45. If the $\$0 \Rightarrow 85 \Leftarrow \%$ usage of the series of slots cannot be demonstrated, \Rightarrow the priority provided under paragraph (2) shall not be given \Leftarrow all the slots constituting that series shall be placed in the slot pool, unless the non-utilisation can be justified on the basis of any of the following reasons:
- (a) unforeseeable and unavoidable circumstances outside the air carrier's control leading to:
 - (i) grounding of the aircraft type generally used for the air service in question;
 - (ii) ⇒ total or partial ⇔ closure of an airport or airspace;

The provision should also cater for the period immediately following the closure, when operations are being resumed but are still disrupted as a consequence of the closure. This was the EUACA's experience during the Ash Crisis of 2010.

Therefore, the wording could be:

total or partial closure of an airport or airspace or being a direct consequence of this

Eric HERBANE 6/3/12 15:33

Supprimé: con

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:30

Supprimé: Slots coinciding with public holidays shall be incorporated into the series for the following season without any need to justify their non-use

EN 35 EN

- (iii) serious disturbance of operations at the airports concerned, including those series of slots at other Community ☒ Union ☒ airports related to routes which have been affected by such disturbance, during a substantial part of the relevant scheduling period;
- (b)

 implies an
 interruption of air services due to action intended to affect these services

 for example, in the event of a strike
 which makes it practically and/or technically impossible for the air carrier to carry out operations as planned;
- (c) serious financial damage for a Community ☑ Union ☑ air carrier concerned, resulting in the granting of a temporary licence by the licensing authorities pending financial reorganisation of the air carrier in accordance with Article 9(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008;

Clarification is required whether the relief applies only for the period of time for which the temporary licence is issued, or for a broader period affected by the serious financial damage.

Clearly, a balance needs to be struck between the interests of the air carrier suffering financial damage, where there are prospects of the air carrier continuing as a viable business, and the need to make use of scarce airport slots and give other air carriers the opportunity to provide new or additional services to the travelling public.

Consideration could also be given to extending this flexibility to air carriers outside of the EU, provided there are sufficient safeguards to prevent the inappropriate granting of temporary licences.

(d) judicial proceedings concerning the application of Article 12 for routes where public service obligations have been imposed <u>according to in accordance with Article 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008</u> resulting in the temporary suspension of the operation of such routes.

new

A ban on operating in the European Union adopted on the basis of Commission Regulation (EC) No 474/2006¹¹ cannot be accepted as a justification for the non-use of the series of slots within the meaning of this paragraph.

EUACA draw the attention of the Legislator that ban decisions are quickly reversible but once slots have been withdrawn the operator will not necessarily be able to operate at the airport.

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.6

 $\underline{56}$. At the request of a Member State or on its own initiative, the Commission shall examine the application of paragraph $\underline{45}$ by the coordinator to an airport falling within the scope of this Regulation.

OJ L 84, 23.3.2006, p. 14.

EN 36 EN

It shall take a decision within two months of receipt of the request in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article $\frac{13}{16}(2)$.

new

7. If the conditions set out in paragraph (2)(a) and (b) are not met, the Commission may however decide that priority for the allocation of the same series should be awarded to the air carriers for the following scheduling period, if this is justified on imperative grounds of urgency linked to exceptional events requiring coherence in the application of measures to be taken in these airports. The Commission shall adopt the necessary measures, the application of which shall not exceed the length of one scheduling period. It shall adopt these immediately applicable implementing acts in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 16(3).

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted) ⇒ new

Article 8b

Exclusion of compensation claims

8. ⇒ The priority for a \rightleftharpoons The entitlement to series of slots referred to in Article 8(2) of this Article shall not give rise to any claims for compensation in respect of any limitation, restriction or elimination of \rightleftharpoons this priority \rightleftharpoons thereof imposed under Community \bowtie Union \bowtie law, in particular in application of the rules of the Treaty relating to air transport.

new

Article 11

Slot reservation

- 1. The managing body of a coordinated airport may decide to use the airport charge system with the aim of dissuading air carriers from belatedly returning slots to the pool referred to in Article 9 and to hold them liable for having reserved airport infrastructure without using it. The following principles shall be respected:
- (a) the procedure set out under Article 6 of Directive 2009/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council shall be observed before this decision is taken. The coordinator shall also be consulted. For coordinated airports not covered by Article 1(2) of Directive 2009/12/EC, the airport managing body shall consult the coordination committee and the coordinator;
- (b) this decision shall not affect the non-discriminatory and transparent character of the slot allocation process and the system of airport charges;

² OJ L 70, 14.3.2009, p. 11.

EN 37 EN

- (c) this decision shall not discourage air carriers from developing services or entering the market and it shall be limited to covering the costs incurred by the airport for reserving the airport capacity corresponding to the slots which remained unused;
- (d) air carriers shall not be held liable for having reserved airport infrastructure without using it for slots allocated but returned to the pool before 31 January for the following summer scheduling period or before 31 August for the following winter scheduling period, and for slots for which the non-use can be justified on the basis of Article 10(5);
- (e) this decision shall be communicated to the coordinator, the interested parties and the Commission at least six months before the start of the scheduling season concerned.
- 2. The coordinator shall send the airport managing body all the information necessary for the implementation of the decision referred to in the first paragraph.

EUACA suggests the deletions highlighted above.

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.5

Article 912

Public service obligations

1. Where public service obligations have been imposed on a route in accordance with Article 16 of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008, a Member State may reserve the slots required for the operations envisaged on that route at a coordinated airport. If the reserved slots on the route concerned are not used, they shall be made available to any other air carrier interested in operating the route in accordance with the public service obligations, subject to paragraph 2. If no other carrier is interested in operating the route and the Member State concerned does not issue a call for tenders under Article 16(10), <u>Article 17(3)</u> to (7), <u>and Article 18(1)</u> of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008, the slots shall either be reserved for another route subject to public service obligations or be returned to the pool. Slots should be returned to the pool when they are not being used after a maximum period of 6 months.

When a route does not any longer meet the requirement for Public Service Obligations slots reservation, the slots are either reserved for another route subject to public service obligations or remain with the air carrier which was using them if the requirement of Article 10 (2) was met for the series concerned.

To make best use of the available capacity EUACA is of the opinion that PSO slots not used for more than a year should return to the pool.

When a route is no longer eligible to PSO, slots should be either reserved for another route or they remain with the air carrier which was operating the route, subject to the use it or lose it condition.

EUACA suggests the modifications highlighted in the text above.

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:32

Supprimé: for slots coinciding with official publ holidays at one end of the route and returned to the pool before the same dates

Eric HERBANE 14/3/12 15:33

Supprimé: 12

EN 38 EN

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted)

2. The tender procedure established in Article 16(10), Article 17(3) to (7) and Article 18(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1008/2008 shall be applied for the use of the slots referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article if more than one Community \boxtimes Union \boxtimes air carrier is interested in serving the route and has not been able to obtain slots within one hour before or after the times requested from the coordinator.

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted)

Slot mobility <

Article <u>&a</u> 13

Slot mobility

in transfers and exchanges

in the state of the stat



1. Slots may be:

- (a) transferred by an air carrier from one route or type of service to another route or type of service operated by that same air carrier;
- (b) transferred ⇒ between two air carriers, with or without monetary or any other kind of compensation; ←
 - (i) between parent and subsidiary companies, and between subsidiaries of the same parent company;
 - (ii) as part of the acquisition of control over the capital of an air earrier;
 - (iii) in the ease of a total or partial take-over when the slots are directly related to the air earrier taken over;
- (c) exchanged, one for one, between air carriers, ⇒ with or without monetary or any other kind of compensation ⇐.

new

2. The Member State shall establish a transparent framework to allow contact between air carriers interested in transferring or exchanging slots in conformity with Union law.

→ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted)

⇒ new

<u>≥</u> The transfers or exchanges referred to in paragraph 1 shall be notified to the coordinator and shall not take effect prior to the express confirmation ⊗ until expressly confirmed ⊗ by

EN 39 EN

the coordinator. The coordinator shall decline to confirm the transfers or exchanges if they are not in conformity with the requirements of this Regulation and if the coordinator is not satisfied that:

(a) airport operations would not be prejudiced, taking into account all technical,

EUACA does not think that performance constraint should be checked and proposes the deletion above

- limitations imposed according to

 in accordance with

 Article

 912 are respected; (b)
- a transfer of slots does not fall within the scope of paragraph 3 ⋈ of this Article ⋈. (c)

new

For the transfers or exchanges referred to in paragraph 1(b) and (c), the air carriers shall give the coordinator the details of any monetary or any other kind of compensation. The transfers or exchanges may not be subject to conditions intended to limit the possibility for the air carrier wishing to obtain the slots from entering into competition with the air carrier which transfers or exchanges the slots.

▶ 793/2004 Art. 1.5

- 3. The coordinator shall decline to confirm the transfers or exchanges unless the coordinator is satisfied they are in conformity with the following conditions:
- a) Slots allocated other than on the basis of Article 10(2) or (3) may not be transferred as provided for in paragraph 1(b) of this Article except in the case of a legally authorised takeover of the activities of a bankrupt undertaking, or transfers between parent and subsidiary companies, and between subsidiaries of the same parent company.
- b) Slots allocated other than on the basis of Article 10(2) or (3) may not be exchanged as provided for in paragraph 1(c) of this Article, except in order to improve the slot timings for these services in relation to the timings initially requested.
- c) Slots allocated to a new entrant as defined in Article 2(2) may not be transferred as provided for in paragraph 1(b) of this Article for a period of two equivalent scheduling periods, except in the case of a legally authorised takeover of the activities of a bankrupt undertaking.
- d) Slots allocated to a new entrant as defined in Article 2(b)(ii(2)(b) and (iii) may not be transferred to another route as provided for in paragraph 1(a) of this Article for a period of two equivalent scheduling periods unless the new entrant would have been treated with the same priority on the new route as on the initial route.
- e) Slots allocated to a new entrant as defined in Article 2(b2) may not be exchanged as provided for in paragraph 1(c) of this Article for a period of two equivalent scheduling periods, except in order to improve the slot timings for these services in relation to the timings initially requested.

Supprimé: po

ColeJ 22/5/12 08:36 Commentaire [4]: Suggested text limiting transfers to historic slots only.

Eric HERBANE 19/3/12 15:12

Supprimé: Slots allocated except on the basis of provided for in paragraph 1(b) of this Article except in the case of a legally authorised takeover of the activities of a bankrupt undertaking,

EN EN 40

♥ 793/2004 Art. 1.5 (adapted) ⇒ new

Article 14

This Regulation shall not affect the powers of public authorities to require ⇒ approve ⇔ the transfer of slots between air carriers and to direct how these are allocated pursuant to national competition law or to Articles 81 ou 82 ≥ 101, 102 ≥ or 106 ⇔ of the Treaty or Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004¹³. These transfers can only take place without monetary compensation.

It is important that coordinators are consulted by the competition authorities on any potential slot remedies at a draft stage, and are given an opportunity to comment on practical and technical implementation issues.

It is also important that the implementation of a competition remedy does not interfere with the normal slot allocation process and the application of slot allocation priorities contained within this Regulation, and that the coordinator's independence is not compromised.

Competition remedies should be clear about what happens to divested slots if the recipient fails to retain historic rights to the slots through non-utilisation or misuse, ie, whether they revert to the pool or return to the original slot holder and, if the latter, the mechanism for such a transfer.

Coordinators are often asked to produce large amount of data to assist competition authorities in their enquiries. Whilst coordinators are happy to comply and assist, the data requests should be limited to those airports where slots might act as a barrier to entry and adequate time should be provided to reply.

Typically trustees are appointed to assist the Commission in implementing a slot remedy. It is the experience of members of the EUACA that some trustees have an insufficient knowledge of the slot allocation process and this Regulation.

Also, it should be clarified that the jurisdiction of national competition authorities should be limited to slots at airports within that Member State.

♦ 545/2009 Art. 1, paragraph 1

Article 10a

For the purpose of Article 12(2), coordinators shall accept that air carriers are entitled to the series of slots for the summer 2010 scheduling period that were allocated to them at the start of the summer 2009 scheduling period in accordance with this Regulation.

OJ L 24, 29.1.2004, p. 1.

EN 41 EN

new

Delegated acts and committee

Article 15

Exercise of the delegation

- 1. The power to adopt the delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.
- 2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 3(3) in fine shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period of time from the entry into force of this Regulation.
- 3. The delegation of powers referred to in Article 3(3) in fine may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision of revocation shall end the delegation of the powers specified in the decision specified therein. The revocation shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the *Official Journal of the European Union* or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force
- 4. As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council.
- 5. The delegated acts referred to in Article 3(3) in fine shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of two months following notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by two months at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the Council.

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.9 (adapted)

Article 16

\boxtimes Committee $\underline{\underline{Pp}}$ rocedure \boxtimes

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.9 ⇒ new

1. The Commission shall be assisted by a Committee.

⇒ That committee is a committee within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011.

⇒

EN 42 EN

2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Articles 5 and 7 of Decision 1999/468/EC shall apply, having regard to the provisions of Article 8 thereof \Rightarrow Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No $182/2011^{14}$ shall apply \Leftrightarrow .

The period laid down in Article 5(6) of Decision 1999/468/EC shall be set at three months.

new

Where the opinion of the committee is to be obtained by written procedure, that procedure shall be terminated without result when, within the time-limit for delivering an opinion, the chair of the committee so decides or a majority of two thirds of the committee members so request.

3. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011, in conjunction with Article 5 thereof, shall apply.

▼ 793/2004 Art. 1.9

- <u>34</u>. The committee may also be consulted by the Commission on any other matter concerning application of this Regulation.
- 4. The Committee shall adopt its rules of procedure.

♦ 793/2004 Art. 1.9 (adapted) ⇒ new

☒ Implementing measures**☒**

Article 17

☒ Consistency between the slots and the flight plans **☒**

1. ⇒When an air carrier submits a flight plan, it shall include a reference to the slot allocated. The network manager shall reject ⇔ <u>Aan</u> air carrier's flight plan may be rejected by the competent Air Traffic Management authorities if the air carrier intends to land or take off at a coordinated airport, during the periods for which it is coordinated, without having a slot allocated by the coordinator. ⇒Business aviation operators shall not be deemed to have been allocated a slot if they would have to operate outside the time-band offered by the slot and if the delay is not attributable to air navigation services. ⇔

The EUACA is of the opinion that the Network management should take advantage of the planning made by Schedules facilitators and coordinators and on the day of operation a priority should be given by the Network Management to Flight plans filed at the timing allocated by the coordinator or recommended by the schedules facilitator. EUACA suggests to include in the appropriate regulation the following sentence:

43

EN

EN

OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13.

"The Network Manager will endeavour to give priority to the Flight plans filed matching the timing allocated by the airport coordinator on a coordinated airport or at the timing recommended by the schedule facilitator at a schedules facilitated airport."

new

2. The Member State shall adopt the measures necessary for the exchange of information between the coordinator, the network manager, the air navigation service providers and the airport managing body.

Article 1418

Enforcement

<u>21</u>. The coordinator shall withdraw the series of slots provisionally allocated to an air carrier in the process of establishing itself and place them in the pool on 31 January for the following summer season or on 31 August for the following winter season if the undertaking does not hold an operating licence or equivalent on that date or if it is not stated by the competent licensing authority that it is likely that an operating licence or equivalent will be issued before the relevant scheduling period commences. ⇒ The competent licensing authorities shall give regular information updates to the coordinator and respond to any European coordinator's or schedules facilitator's requests within a reasonable period of time. ⇔

3. The coordinator shall withdraw and place in the pool the series of slots of an air carrier which it has received following an exchange pursuant to Article 9(1)(c) if they have not been used as intended.

42. Air carriers that vand intentionally operate air services at a time significantly different from the slot allocated as part of a series of slots or use slots in a significantly different way from that indicated at the time of allocation and thereby cause prejudice to airport or air traffice operations shall lose their ⇒ priority ⇒ status as referred to in Article 810(2). The coordinator may decide to withdraw from that air carrier the series of slots in question for the remainder of the scheduling period and place them in the pool after having consulted the air carrier concerned and after issuing a single warning. ⇒ If the air carrier requests equivalent slots, the coordinator is not obliged to allocate them.

□

EUACA proposes to delete the word "repeatedly" above since any intentional misuse should

Eric HERBANE 6/3/12 15:58

Supprimé: its

Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:39

Supprimé: repeatedly ⇒ or ⇔

EN 44 EN

be dealt with. new The Member State shall ensure that the coordinator establishes an efficient system for implementing this paragraph. Eric HERBANE 6/3/12 16:00 Supprimé: supervising the application of Translation issue from the text in French **▶** 793/2004 Art. 1.9 ⇒ new 53. Member States shall ensure that effective, proportionate and dissuasive sanctions of equivalent measures are available ⇒ and are applied ⇔ to deal with - ← and intentional operation of air services ⇒ without a corresponding slot or ← at times Eric HERBANE 22/5/12 08:41 significantly different from the allocated slots or with the use of slots in a significantly Supprimé: repeated ⇒ or different way from that indicated at the time of allocation; where this eauses prejudice to airport or air traffic operations EUACA proposes to delete the word "repeatedly" above since an intentional misuse should be dealt with. new - the return of slots after 31 January for the following summer season or after 31 August for the following winter season, or the retention of unused slots; the penalty should in any case

EUACA suggests the addition highlighted above.

case reported for application of penalties.

take account of the possible use of the mechanism provided by Article 11;

EN 45 EN

- the refusal to communicate to the coordinator or the schedules facilitator the information specified under Articles 7 and 13 or the communication of false or misleading information.

The coordinator or the schedules facilitator shall be duly informed of the outcome of each

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.9 ⇒ new

<u>64</u>. Without prejudice to Article $10(\underline{45})$, if the \Rightarrow 85 \Leftarrow 80 % usage rate as defined in Article <u>\$10(2)</u> cannot be achieved by an air carrier, the coordinator may decide to withdraw from that air carrier the series of slots in question for the remainder of the scheduling period and place them in the pool after having consulted the air carrier concerned.

Without prejudice to Article $10(\underline{45})$, if after an allotted time corresponding to \Rightarrow 15 \Leftrightarrow 20% of the period of the series validity no slots of that series of slots have been used, the coordinator shall place the series of slots in question in the pool for the remainder of the scheduling period, after having consulted the air carrier concerned. \Rightarrow The coordinator may decide to withdraw the series of slots before the end of a period corresponding to 15% of the period of validity of the series if the carrier does not show that it intends to use them. \Leftrightarrow

▶ 793/2004 Art. 1.7

Article <u>1119</u>

Complaints and rights of appeal

1. Without prejudice to rights of appeal under national law, complaints regarding the application of Articles 7(2), 8.8a, 9.10, 13, 17 and 18(1).(2) and to (4) and (6) shall first be submitted to the coordination committee. The committee shall, within a period of one month following submission of the complaint, consider the matter and if possible make proposals to the coordinator in an attempt to resolve the problem. If the complaint cannot be settled, the Member State responsible may, within a further two month period, provide for mediation by an air carriers' or airports' representative organisation or other third party.

As per Article 8(1)c) mediation of the Coordination Committee should be sought prior to any legal action. EUACA suggests the modification highlighted above.

2. Member States shall take appropriate measures, in accordance with national law, to protect coordinators with regard to claims for damages relating to their functions under this Regulation, save in cases of gross negligence or wilful misconduct.

♦ 95/93

Article 1220

▼ 793/2004 Art. 1.8 (adapted)

⇒ new

Relations with third countries

EN 46 EN

- 1. \boxtimes The Commission may, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 16(2), decide that a Member State or Member States should take measures, \boxtimes \Rightarrow including the withdrawal of slots, \Leftarrow \boxtimes in respect of an air carrier or air carriers of a third country with a view to remedying the discriminatory behaviour of the third country concerned \boxtimes $\underline{\underline{\underline{\underline{W}}}}$ henever it appears that, with respect to the allocation and use of slots at its airports, a third country:
- (a) does not grant Community ⊠ Union ⊠ air carriers treatment comparable to that granted by this Regulation to air carriers from that country, or
- (b) does not grant Community ⊠ Union ⊠ air carriers de facto national treatment, or
- (c) grants air carriers from other third countries more favourable treatment than Community ⊠ Union ⊠ air carriers₌

the Commission may, in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 16(2), decide that a Member State or Member States shall take measures, including the suspension in whole or in part of the application of this Regulation in respect of an air carrier or air carriers of that third country with a view to remedying the discriminatory behaviour of the third country concerned.

♦ 95/93 (adapted)

2. Member States shall inform the Commission of any serious difficulties encountered, in law or in fact, by Community ⊠ Union ⊠ air carriers in obtaining slots at airports in third countries

☒ Final provisions **☒**

◆ 793/2004 Art. 1.10 ⇒ new

Article 14a 21

Report and cooperation

- 2. Member States and the Commission shall cooperate in the application of this Regulation, particularly as regards the collection of information for the report mentioned in paragraph 1.

EN 47 EN



Article 22

Repeal

Regulation (EEC) No 95/93 is hereby repealed.

References to the repealed Regulation shall be construed as references to this Regulation and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Annex II.

♦ 95/93 (adapted)

Article <u>1523</u>

Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the \boxtimes first day of the second scheduling period starting after \boxtimes thirtieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States. Done at Brussels, [...]

For the European Parliament The President The President For the Council For the Council [...]

EN 48 EN

ΕN ΕN 49